

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



3

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/916,682	07/30/2001	Yasutaka Ito	110580.01	9838	
25944	7590 12/31/2002				
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDR	928 RIA, VA 22320		PAIK, SANG YEOP		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			///			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/916,682	ITO, YASUTAKA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sang Y Paik	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commur ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 (	<u> October 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			erits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li><li>application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		je			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication).			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152				

Application/Control Number: 09/916,682

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kano et al (US 6,242,719) in view of Koontz (US 5,877,473).

Kano et al discloses all the structure claimed including a disk shaped ceramic substrate with a heat-generating pattern having a combination of a spiral pattern and a bending pattern disposed on the surface of the ceramic substrate which is made of nitride ceramics and having 200 mm or more diameter. However, Kano et al does not show a bending portion having the claimed curvature radius within the range of 0.1 mm to 20 mm.

Koonts shows a heating pattern having a bending portion whose curvature radius is within the claimed range. Koontz teaches that such curvature reduces cold and hot spots along the heating element.

In view of Koontz, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Kano et al with the claimed curvature bending to eliminate hot and cold spots and thus provide an uniform heating across its heating surface.

With respect to claims 3 and 6, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Kano with the heat-generating pattern having the width within the claimed range

Application/Control Number: 09/916,682

Art Unit: 3742

since such width of the heating element depends upon the desired heating resistance or power capacity one may variably desires to achieve.

## Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y Paik whose telephone number is 703-308-1147. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/916,682

Art Unit: 3742

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

5. Pu

Sang Y Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742 Page 4

syp December 27, 2002